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Section 6(b):

“Nothing [in Part I of the 1930 Act] shall: ...

(b) apply to any award made on arbitration agreement governed by the law of England.”
Section 2(2): “This Part [i.e. Part I] shall apply where the place of arbitration is India.”

Section 2(7): “An arbitral award made under this Part [i.e. Part I] shall be considered as a domestic award.”
Section 7:

“Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, an arbitration agreement which forms or was intended to form part of another agreement (whether or not in writing) shall not be regarded as invalid, non-existent or ineffective because that other agreement is invalid, or did not come into existence or has become ineffective; and it shall for that purpose be treated as a distinct agreement.”
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